

Data Modeling in VHDL

Peter J. Ashenden

The University of Adelaide

Philip A. Wilsey

University of Cincinnati

This work was partially supported by Wright Laboratory
under USAF contract F33615-95-C-1638.

Complexity Management

- For system-level design of behavior
 - abstraction of data
 - abstraction of communication & timing
 - abstraction of concurrency
- SUAVE:
 - SAVANT & University of Adelaide
VHDL Extensions
 - object-oriented data modeling
 - genericity
 - communication & concurrency

Design Objectives

- Support high-level modeling
 - improve encapsulation and information hiding
 - provide for hierarchies of abstraction
- Support re-use and incremental development
 - polymorphism, dynamic binding
- Support hw/sw codesign
 - improved integration with programming languages
- Preserve correctness of existing models
- Design principles from VHDL-93
 - preserve “conceptual integrity”

January 1998

Peter Ashenden — HICSS '98: Data Modeling in VHDL

3

Class-Based Approach

- Example: Objective VHDL (Radetzki *et al*)
- *Class* is a specific language construct
 - encapsulates data and operations
 - may inherit from a superclass
 - an object is an instance of a class
- *cf.* Simula, Smalltalk, C++, Java

January 1998

Peter Ashenden — HICSS '98: Data Modeling in VHDL

4

Programming by Extension

- Example: SUAVE (Ashenden & Wilsey)
- *Class* is a type-derivation hierarchy
 - package encapsulates a tagged type and operations (ADT)
 - a new type may extend a parent type
 - inherits operations
 - T'Class denotes type hierarchy rooted at T
 - an object is of a tagged type or class-wide type
- *cf.* Oberon-2, Ada-95

January 1998

Peter Ashenden — HICSS '98: Data Modeling in VHDL

5

Integration with VHDL Signals

- Variables denote machine locations
- Signals denote trajectories of values
 - assignment: editing scheduled trajectory
 - update: resolution; type conversions on net
 - event: change of value triggers processes

January 1998

Peter Ashenden — HICSS '98: Data Modeling in VHDL

6

Classes and Signals

- Problem: data is hidden in the class
 - behavior of operation depends on kind of object
- Objective VHDL solution:

```

type complex is class
  class attribute re, im : real;
  impure function real_part ( x : complex ) return real;
  impure function imag_part ( x : complex ) return real;
  procedure add ( y : complex );
end class complex;

```

January 1998

Peter Ashenden — HICSS '98: Data Modeling in VHDL

7

Classes and Signals

```

type complex is class body
  . . .
  for signal
    procedure add ( y : complex ) is
    begin
      re <= re + y.real_part;
      im <= im + y.imag_part;
    end procedure add;
  end for;
  for variable
    procedure add ( y : complex ) is
    begin
      re := re + y.real_part;
      im := im + y.imag_part;
    end procedure add;
  end for;
end class complex;

```

January 1998

Peter Ashenden — HICSS '98: Data Modeling in VHDL

8

ADTs and Signals

- Package defines a type
 - signals declared to be of the type
 - assignment/update” use predefined “:=”, “=”
 - signal/variable operations distinguished by parameter kind if necessary
- SUAVE solution: ...

January 1998

Peter Ashenden — HICSS '98: Data Modeling in VHDL

9

ADTs and Signals

```

package complex_numbers is
  type complex is private;
  . . .
  function "+" ( x, y : complex ) return complex;
private
  type complex is record
    re, im : real;
  end record complex;
end package complex_numbers;

package body complex_numbers is
  . . .
  function "+" ( x, y : complex ) return complex is
  begin
    return ( x.re + y.re, x.im + y.im );
  end function "+";
end package body complex_numbers;

```

January 1998

Peter Ashenden — HICSS '98: Data Modeling in VHDL

10

ADTs and Signals

```
use complex_numbers.all;  
variable c1, c2 : complex;  
signal s1, s2 : complex;  
...  
c1 := c1 + c2;  
s1 <= s2 + c1;
```

Encapsulation

- ADTs require strong encapsulation
- VHDL packages provide encapsulation
 - weak: concrete type is visible
 - strengthen by adding private types (à la Ada)
- Classes provide encapsulation
 - but replicate aspects of packages
 - complicate the language

Summary

- Both approaches can be made to work
- Prefer programming by extension
 - integrates with existing language better
 - existing features
 - existing style
 - avoids duplication of features
 - integrates with generics to provide mixin inheritance
- SUAVE
 - <http://www.ececs.uc.edu/~petera/suave.html>